

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP

Irvin B. Nathan
Robert N. Weiner
Andrew T. Tutt
Kaitlin Konkel
Samuel F. Callahan
601 Massachusetts Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 942-5000
irv.nathan@arnoldporter.com

Douglas A. Winthrop
10th Floor
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 471-3100
douglas.winthrop@arnoldporter.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae Former Members of Congress

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND DIVISION

SIERRA CLUB, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United
States, in his official capacity, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:19-cv-00892-HSG

**CONSENT MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
FILE BRIEF OF FORMER MEMBERS
OF CONGRESS AS *AMICI CURIAE* IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2 Amici curiae are a bipartisan group of more than 100 former Members of the House of
3 Representatives, both Republicans and Democrats. They respectfully move for leave to file the
4 attached brief in the above-captioned matter. Plaintiffs and defendants consent to *amici*'s motion.
5 A copy of the proposed brief and a proposed order are attached. A full listing of *amici* appears in
6 Appendix A of the proposed brief.

7 **STATEMENT OF INTEREST**

8 *Amici*'s motion should be granted because, as former members of Congress and as citizens
9 of our Nation, they have a strong interest in preventing Executive Branch overreach from degrading
10 Congress's unique and important role in America's tripartite system of separated powers. *Amici*
11 have served an aggregate of approximately 1,500 years in Congress, hail from 36 States, and include
12 21 former Members from the states of the Ninth Circuit. *Amici* disagree on many issues of policy
13 and politics. Some *amici* believe that a wall along the Southern Border is in the national interest.
14 Others do not. But all *amici* agree that the Executive Branch is undermining the separation of powers
15 by proposing to spend tax dollars to build a border wall that Congress repeatedly and emphatically
16 refused to fund. Rarely in our Nation's history has the Executive Branch launched such an assault
17 on Congress's exclusive legislative powers. In the statement quoted above and many others like it,
18 the President's essential rationalization for unilateral Executive Branch action is that Congress has
19 refused to authorize his requested appropriation. This subversion of Article I has caused, and
20 continues to cause, grave harm to the House as an institution. If this Court refuses to address the
21 merits or supports the Administration's usurpation of congressional authority, the unchecked
22 expansion of the Executive's power at the expense of the Legislative Branch will threaten our
23 democracy. Each of the *amici* swore an oath to protect the Constitution; each has seen firsthand how
24 the separation of powers safeguards the rights of the American people; and each firmly believes that
25 defending Congress's power of the purse is essential to preserving democracy's promise that
26 Americans' hard-earned tax dollars are spent in accordance with the will of the people.

ARGUMENT

This Court may in its discretion allow the participation of amicus curiae, and does not impose “strict prerequisites that must be established prior to qualifying for amicus status.” *In re Dynamic Random Access Memory Antitrust Litig.*, No. M-02-1486-PJH, 2007 WL 2022026, at *1 (N.D. Cal. July 9, 2007). Rather, “an individual or entity seeking to appear as amicus must merely make a showing that his/its participation is useful to or otherwise desirable to the court.” *Id.*

This Court previously granted *amici*’s motion to participate at the preliminary injunction phase of this case. At this stage of the litigation, the Court would again benefit from briefing by former members of Congress because this suit concerns a subject on which they have unique perspective and a wealth of practical experience: the separation of powers. Some of the *amici* have served in leadership positions in their respective party caucuses, including as Majority Leader; several have served on the Appropriations, Budget and Intelligence Committees of the House; and some have gone on to serve in the Senate or in the Executive Branch. Two *amici* have served as U.S. Secretaries of the Defense Department (one Democrat and one Republican) and are particularly well versed in the laws and practices surrounding the Defense Department budget. One has served as the head of the Office of Management and Budget and went on to serve as Chief of Staff to the President. All of the *amici* are uniquely positioned to offer their perspective because they are former members of the Legislative Branch intimately familiar with the appropriations process, which is at the heart of this case.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, *amici*’s motion for leave to file the attached brief should be granted.

1 Dated: October 18, 2019

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP

2
3
4 By: /s/ Douglas A. Winthrop
Irvin B. Nathan
5 Robert N. Weiner
Andrew T. Tutt
6 Kaitlin Konkel
Samuel F. Callahan
7 601 Massachusetts Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20001
8 (202) 942-5000
irv.nathan@arnoldporter.com
9 robert.weiner@arnoldporter.com
andrew.tutt@arnoldporter.com
10 kaitlin.konkel@arnoldporter.com
sam.callahan@arnoldporter.com

11 Douglas A. Winthrop
12 10th Floor
Three Embarcadero Center
13 San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 471-3100
14 douglas.winthrop@arnoldporter.com

15 *Counsel for Amici Curiae*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non-registered participants on the date of electronic filing.

/s/ Douglas A. Winthrop
Douglas A. Winthrop
10th Floor
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 471-3100
douglas.winthrop@arnoldporter.com